SMN 2264X. See above note to SMN 1101.

SMN 2319+2321. Note that 2009 + 2319 + 2321 = HSS 19 111 which, however, is not the same text.

SMN 2356. This number was assigned to ERL 29 by G. Wilhelm in SCCNH 5, p. 152. But these are not the same texts.

SMN 2358X. Or, SMN 2358A. See note to p. 123, EN 9/2 371.

Sub SMN 2583. Note that Nuzi Bibliography, p. 228 indicates that SMN 2583 joins SMN 2150 = EN 9/1 389 (388 is what appears here). This is incorrect.

SMN 2630 = EN 9/1 7 not EN 9/1 8.

SMN 2713. See above, note to 1101.

SMN 2778 = EN 9/1 150, not EN 9/3 150.

SMN 2993. Delete entry.

Insert SMN 33751 = EN 9/1 132 (also p. 162; SCCNH 2, p. 363, has 3375 without question mark.

SMN 3468. Delete this entry. See above note to p. 130, EN 9/1 466.

Insert SMN 3489 = EN 9/1 120.

Page 141, note 13 change EN 9/1 418 to EN 9/1 438.


Sub Taya son of Apil-Sin, delete EN 9/1 147.

IV. Notes to “Plates”

EN 9/3 52; add legend, Fragment A, to first and last pieces on plate.

EN 9/3 72 = EN 9/2 83; slightly different copies of the same text with somewhat different descriptions.

EN 9/3 72 = EN 9/2 83; Almost identical copies of the same text with somewhat different descriptions.

EN 9/2 83 = EN 9/3 72; Almost identical copies of the same text with somewhat different descriptions.

EN 9/2 158; Bottom of right edge, part of a seal impression is preserved. On the left edge parts of two other seal impressions are preserved.

EN 9/2 177 rev. On the left edge, the text, two seal impressions are preserved.

EN 9/2 234 obv. At end of line 4, add sign “a” (accidently omitted by haplography?)

EN 9/2 371 = EN 9/3 514. Almost identical copies of the same text with somewhat different descriptions.

EN 9/1 406 obv. For add to L. read: add to L. 1.

EN 9/3 514 = EN 9/2 371. Almost identical copies of the same text with somewhat different descriptions.

EN 9/1 427 rev. After line 46, both seal impressions are on the upper edge.

EN 9/1 432 obv. The number 432 is missing at heading at the top of this plate.
Nach der kürzlich erschienenen Neubearbeitung von UCP IX pl. 12 (Nr. 11: Museumans. UCLMA 9-287050) in JCS 46 (1994) 115f. steht auch hier einfach die Normalform še-en-na-
581. Damit ist auch diese Variante zu streichen.


In dem von F. Ar-Rawi in seiner Dissertation vorgelagerten Text IM 73.215 (TF; 442)523 begegnet in Z. 35 ein Zeuge DUB-ši-i-a DUMU še-en-na-ti-i-[ ], wobei das zerstörte Zeichen mit zwei waagerechten Keilen beginnt. Derselbe Zeuge begegnet noch einmal in IM 70.887 (TF; 263) und RATK 4.122, wobei das Patronym in beiden Fällen še-en-ša-g geschrieben ist. Über die Identität des Zeugen besteht kein Zweifel, daher darf geschlossen werden, daß der Name še-
582
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